Sparsity in Learning with the LASSO 2

Binh Nguyen – Telecom Paris

M2DS Alternants Research Seminar Course; 14/04/2022

Outline

Reminder

Variants of Lasso

Hyperparameter Optimization

Outline

Reminder

Variants of Lasso

Hyperparameter Optimization

Previously...

Lasso: Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator



$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{lasso} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 + \lambda \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_1$$

where $\lambda > 0$ controls the sparsity of the solution \longrightarrow Promote sparsity: there is a threshold λ_{max} such that $\lambda > \lambda_{max}$ implies $\beta_{lasso} = 0$

Outline

Reminder

Variants of Lasso

Hyperparameter Optimization

$$eta_{lasso} \stackrel{\mathsf{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 + \lambda \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_1$$

- Only one λ that dictates sparsity degree of all β_j
- What if we want a scheme that is adaptive: coefficients with large magnitude (absolute value) receive smaller sparse penalty?

Zou H. (2006), 'The adaptive lasso and its oracle properties', Journal of the American Statistical Association 101(476), 1418–1429.

$$eta_{lasso} \stackrel{\mathsf{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 + \lambda \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_1$$

- Only one λ that dictates sparsity degree of all β_j
- What if we want a scheme that is adaptive: coefficients with large magnitude (absolute value) receive smaller sparse penalty?
 - \longrightarrow Lasso with adaptive weights on $\ell_1\text{-regularization}$

$$oldsymbol{eta}_{lasso} \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta}} rac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} oldsymbol{eta} \|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p rac{oldsymbol{w}_j}{|oldsymbol{eta}_j|}$$

where $w_j \in [0, 1)$.

Zou H. (2006), 'The adaptive lasso and its oracle properties', Journal of the American Statistical Association 101(476), 1418–1429.

$$eta_{lasso} \stackrel{\mathsf{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 + \lambda \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_1$$

- Only one λ that dictates sparsity degree of all β_j
- What if we want a scheme that is adaptive: coefficients with large magnitude (absolute value) receive smaller sparse penalty?
 - \longrightarrow Lasso with adaptive weights on $\ell_1\text{-regularization}$

$$egin{aligned} eta_{lasso} \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} rac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} eta \|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p rac{oldsymbol{w}_j}{|oldsymbol{eta}_j|} \ \end{aligned}$$

where $w_j \in [0, 1)$. \longrightarrow Optimization problem is still convex in β

Zou H. (2006), 'The adaptive lasso and its oracle properties', Journal of the American Statistical Association 101(476), 1418–1429.

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{lasso} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\boldsymbol{w}_j}{|\boldsymbol{\beta}_j|}$$

▶ Typically w_j are initialized as

$$w_j = egin{cases} rac{1}{|eta_j^{ ext{init}}|} & ext{if } eta_j^{ ext{init}}
eq 0 \ 0 & ext{if } eta_j^{ ext{init}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$eta_{lasso} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\mathbf{w}_j}{|\boldsymbol{\beta}_j|}$$

• Typically w_j are initialized as

$$w_j = egin{cases} rac{1}{|eta_j^{ ext{init}}|} & ext{if } eta_j^{ ext{init}}
eq 0 \ 0 & ext{if } eta_j^{ ext{init}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

▶ But what is this β^{init} ?

$$eta_{lasso} \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta}} rac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} oldsymbol{eta} \|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p rac{oldsymbol{w}_j}{|oldsymbol{eta}_j|}$$

• Typically w_j are initialized as

$$w_j = egin{cases} rac{1}{|eta_j^{ ext{init}}|} & ext{if } eta_j^{ ext{init}}
eq 0 \ 0 & ext{if } eta_j^{ ext{init}} = 0 \end{cases}$$

- But what is this β^{init} ?
- ▶ Just put a standard lasso for finding β^{init} (called screening operation)



Fig. 2.4 Estimated regression coefficients in the linear model with p = 1000 and n = 50. Left: Lasso. Right: Adaptive Lasso with Lasso as initial estimator. The 3 true regression coefficients are indicated with triangles. Both methods used with tuning parameters selected from 10-fold crossvalidation.

Bühlmann, P., & Geer, S. A. van de. (2011). Statistics for high-dimensional data: Methods, theory and applications. Springer.

$$eta_{lasso} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta}} rac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} oldsymbol{eta} \|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p | rac{oldsymbol{w}_j}{oldsymbol{eta}_j} |$$

Optimization problem is still convex in β, but how to solve now that there is multiple value of λ possible?

$$eta_{lasso} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} rac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} eta \|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p | oldsymbol{w}_j eta_j |$$

- Optimization problem is still convex in β, but how to solve now that there is multiple value of λ possible?
- Question: can we reformulate the adaptive lasso back to the original lasso?

$$eta_{lasso} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} rac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} eta \|^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^p | oldsymbol{w}_j eta_j |$$

- Optimization problem is still convex in β, but how to solve now that there is multiple value of λ possible?
- Question: can we reformulate the adaptive lasso back to the original lasso?
- <u>Hint:</u> use the change of variable $\tilde{\beta}$ as some form of w and β
- ▶ To the whiteboard...

$$ilde{oldsymbol{eta}}_{lasso} \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{ ilde{oldsymbol{eta}}} rac{1}{2n} \| extbf{y} - ilde{X} ilde{oldsymbol{eta}} \|^2 + \lambda | ilde{oldsymbol{eta}} |_1$$

and this means

$$ilde{oldsymbol{eta}}_{lasso} = \mathbb{W}^{-1} oldsymbol{eta}_{lasso}$$

i.e. the solution of the adaptive Lasso is just a rescaling of the solution of original Lasso

 \longrightarrow enjoys theoretical guarantee (consistency, asymptotic normality) from the Lasso without additional assumptions

In sklearn.linear_model.Lasso

fit(X, y, sample_weight=None, check_input=True)

[source]

Fit model with coordinate descent.

Parameters:	X : {ndarray, sparse matrix} of (n_samples, n_features) Data.
	y : {ndarray, sparse matrix} of shape (n_samples,) or (n_samples, n_targets) Target. Will be cast to X's dtype if necessary.
	sample_weight : float or array-like of shape (n_samples,), default=None Sample weights. Internally, the sample_weight vector will be rescaled to sum to n_samples. New in version 0.23.
	check_input : <i>bool, default=True</i> Allow to bypass several input checking. Don't use this parameter unless you know what you do.

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.linear_model.Lasso.html

A cousin of Lasso: Elastic-Net

- ► A problem with Lasso: when there are high-correlations between variables, e.g. X_{*,i} and X_{*,j} empirically Lasso select one but not both...
- \blacktriangleright At most n variables will be selected by the lasso, so problematic when $n \ll p$
- A solution: adding l₂ norm to the lasso optimization problem: elastic net

Zou, Hui; Hastie, Trevor (2005). "Regularization and Variable Selection via the Elastic Net". Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B. 67 (2): 301–320.

A cousin of Lasso: Elastic-Net

- ► A problem with Lasso: when there are high-correlations between variables, e.g. X_{*,i} and X_{*,j} empirically Lasso select one but not both...
- \blacktriangleright At most n variables will be selected by the lasso, so problematic when $n \ll p$
- A solution: adding l₂ norm to the lasso optimization problem: elastic net

Zou, Hui; Hastie, Trevor (2005). "Regularization and Variable Selection via the Elastic Net". Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B. 67 (2): 301–320.







we can just set $heta=rac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\in[0,1]$, then the equivalent problem is

$$\boldsymbol{eta}_{enet} \stackrel{ ext{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{eta}} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{eta} \|^2 + (1-\theta) \| \boldsymbol{eta} \|_1 + \frac{\theta}{2} \| \boldsymbol{eta} \|_2^2$$

 \longrightarrow enet-path interpolates between Lasso and Ridge regression path

Image from Gabriel Peyré's twitter:

https://twitter.com/gabrielpeyre/status/1318054267685621761



- Elastic-net solutions: interpolates between Lasso and Ridge regression solutions
- Question: this gives hint on finding the solution of Enet? (remember how we find solution for Lasso and for Ridge?)

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{enet} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{2n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2}$$

in the case $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Id}$, then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} = 1/n (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}/n$

$$\operatorname*{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathtt{y} - \mathtt{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{enet} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{2n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2}$$

in the case $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Id}$, then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} = 1/n (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}/n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname*{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{2n} \| \textbf{y} - \textbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 \\ & = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\beta} \ \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \textbf{y}^\top \textbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2 \textbf{y}^\top \textbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{enet} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{2}^{2}$$

in the case $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Id}$, then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} = 1/n (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}/n$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2 \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\} \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} + n \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} + 2n \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} + n (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS})^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} - n (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS})^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} \right\} \end{split}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{enet} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2}$$

in the case $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Id}$, then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} = 1/n (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}/n$

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} & \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\} \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{y} + n \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta} + 2n \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} + n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS})^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} - n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS})^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} \right\} \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} - \boldsymbol{\beta})^\top (n \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \mathbf{y}^\top (\mathrm{Id} - \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{y} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{enet} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{2n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{1} + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_{2}^{2}$$

in the case $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \mathrm{Id}$, then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} = 1/n (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}/n$

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} & \frac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^{2} \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2 \mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \right\} \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ \mathbf{y}^{\top} \mathbf{y} + n \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta} + 2n \boldsymbol{\beta}^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} + n (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS})^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} - n (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS})^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} \right\} \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2n} \left\{ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} - \boldsymbol{\beta})^{\top} (n \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \mathbf{y}^{\top} (\mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{y} \right\} \\ &= \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \| \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{LS} - \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$eta_{enet} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} rac{1}{2n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}eta\|_2^2 + \lambda_1 \|eta\|_1 + rac{\lambda_2}{2} \|eta\|_2^2$$

in the case $rac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \operatorname{Id}$

This means

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{enet} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat{\beta}_{j}^{LS} - \beta_{j})^{2} + \lambda_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_{j}| + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j}^{2}$$

This means

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{enet} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat{\beta}_{j}^{LS} - \beta_{j})^{2} + \lambda_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_{j}| + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j}^{2}$$

• The problem is separable: for each j

$$\beta_j^{enet} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\beta}_j^{LS} - \beta_j)^2 + \lambda_1 |\beta_j| + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \beta_j^2$$

$$eta_{enet} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{eta} rac{1}{2n} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}eta\|_2^2 + \lambda_1 \|eta\|_1 + rac{\lambda_2}{2} \|eta\|_2^2$$

in the case $rac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = \operatorname{Id}$

This means

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{enet} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\hat{\beta}_{j}^{LS} - \beta_{j})^{2} + \lambda_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_{j}| + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j}^{2}$$

• The problem is separable: for each j

$$\begin{split} \beta_j^{enet} &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\beta}_j^{LS} - \beta_j)^2 + \lambda_1 |\beta_j| + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} \beta_j^2 \\ &= \operatorname*{argmin}_{\beta} \frac{1}{2} \left(\beta_j - \frac{\hat{\beta}_j^{LS}}{1 + \lambda_2} \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} |\beta_j| \\ &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname{prox}_{\|\cdot\|_1} \left(\beta_j - \frac{\hat{\beta}_j^{LS}}{1 + \lambda_2}, \frac{\lambda_1}{1 + \lambda_2} \right) \end{split}$$

This means: in general settings, we can find solution of Enet with iterative optimization algorithm (from last session):

- ▶ ISTA, FISTA
- Coordinate descent (implemented in sklearn)

Other Variants

- Group lasso
- Lasso for data matrix with missing elements
- Debiased Lasso

Other Variants

- Group lasso
- Lasso for data matrix with missing elements
- Debiased Lasso

...which we will wait for presentations next week :-)

Reminder

Variants of Lasso

Hyperparameter Optimization

Previously...

Lasso: Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{lasso} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \|^2 + \lambda \| \boldsymbol{\beta} \|_1$$

where $\lambda > 0$ controls the sparsity of the solution

- Choose λ based $\lambda_{max} = \|\mathbf{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y}\|_{\infty}$
- ▶ Reminder: when $\lambda > \lambda_{max}$ all β_j will shrink to zero
- ▶ But λ to select? cross-validation/Information Criterion

Hyperparameter selection, the popular way

Cross validation

▶ Criterion (AIC/BIC) that control model complexity

Hyperparameter selection, the popular way

Cross validation

Criterion (AIC/BIC) that control model complexity

► Formalization: for Lasso

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} rac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y}^{ ext{train}} - \mathbf{X}^{ ext{train}} oldsymbol{eta} \|^2 + \lambda \|oldsymbol{eta}\|_1$$

Subject to:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \min_{\lambda} \|\mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}\|^2$$

Hyperparameter selection, the popular way

Cross validation

Criterion (AIC/BIC) that control model complexity

▶ Formalization: for Lasso

$$\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} rac{1}{2n} \| \mathbf{y}^{ ext{train}} - \mathbf{X}^{ ext{train}} oldsymbol{eta} \|^2 + \lambda \| oldsymbol{eta} \|_1$$

Subject to:

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \min_{\lambda} \|\mathbf{y}^{\mathtt{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\mathtt{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}\|^2$$

 \longrightarrow Today: hyper-parameter selection with bi-level optimization

Hyperparameter Selection: Bilevel Optimization?

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \underset{\substack{\lambda \\ \text{outer optimization problem}}{\underset{\text{outer optimization problem}}{\underset{\text{optimization problem}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}$$

Caveat: for the moment we deviate from Lasso, and assume the case h is at least twice-differentiable

Grid-search as a zero-order optimization method

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \underbrace{\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \| \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^{2}}_{\text{outer optimization problem}} \text{ s.t. } \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{inner optimization problem}}$$

Grid-search with cross-validation (assume 1-fold CV):

- Defines a range of values for λ
- For each λ , solves the inner problem, then calculate the outer loss
- Choose $\lambda \in \operatorname{grid}(\lambda)$ that that minimizes the outer loss

Grid-search as a zero-order optimization method



Grid-search with cross-validation (assume 1-fold CV):

- Defines a range of values for λ
- For each λ , solves the inner problem, then calculate the outer loss
- Choose $\lambda \in \operatorname{grid}(\lambda)$ that that minimizes the outer loss

Example from: https://qb3.github.io/sparse-ho/index.html

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \underbrace{\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \| \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^{2}}_{\text{outer optimization problem}} \text{ s.t. } \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{inner optimization problem}}$$

▶ <u>Idea:</u> gradient descent?

$$\lambda^{(t+1)} = \lambda^{(t)} - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda^{(t)})$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \underbrace{\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \| \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^{2}}_{\text{outer optimization problem}} \text{ s.t. } \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{inner optimization problem}}$$

▶ <u>Idea:</u> gradient descent?

$$\lambda^{(t+1)} = \lambda^{(t)} - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda^{(t)})$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \underset{\substack{\lambda \\ \text{outer optimization problem}}{\underbrace{\min \| \mathbf{y}^{\text{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\text{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^2}_{\text{outer optimization problem}} \text{ s.t. } \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\operatorname{argmin}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{inner optimization problem}}$$

Previous calculus classes tell us that

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \partial_{\lambda} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda) \top} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \text{ outer optimization problem}} \mathbb{I}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\operatorname{val}} - \mathbb{X}^{\operatorname{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^{2} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{ inner optimization problem}}$$

Previous calculus classes tell us that

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \partial_{\lambda} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda) \top} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda)$$

Question: what is problematic in computation of this gradient?

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \text{ outer optimization problem}} \mathbb{I}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\operatorname{val}} - \mathbb{X}^{\operatorname{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^{2} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{ inner optimization problem}}$$

Previous calculus classes tell us that

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \partial_{\lambda} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda) \top} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda)$$

Question: what is problematic in computation of this gradient?

 β^(λ) is the solution of another optimization problem...

Remember the inner problem:

 $\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} h(oldsymbol{eta}, \lambda)$

Remember the inner problem:

 $\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} h(oldsymbol{eta}, \lambda)$

• $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}$ is an implicit function of λ , characterized by

 $abla_1 h(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = 0$

Remember the inner problem:

 $\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} h(oldsymbol{eta}, \lambda)$

• $\hat{\beta}^{(\lambda)}$ is an <u>implicit function</u> of λ , characterized by $\nabla_1 h(\hat{\beta}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = 0$

• Implicit Function Theorem: if \mathcal{L} and h are continuously differentiable, then there exists a unique $\hat{\beta}^{(\lambda)}$, and we have

$$egin{aligned} \partial_\lambda \hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)} &= - [
abla_1^2 h(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)},\lambda)]^{-1}
abla_{1,2}^2 h(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)},\lambda) \ &= - [H_{eta,h}]^{-1} \
abla_{1,2}^2 h(\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)},\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Remember the inner problem:

 $\hat{oldsymbol{eta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{oldsymbol{eta} \in \mathbb{R}^p} h(oldsymbol{eta}, \lambda)$

• $\hat{\beta}^{(\lambda)}$ is an <u>implicit function</u> of λ , characterized by $\nabla_1 h(\hat{\beta}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = 0$

• Implicit Function Theorem: if \mathcal{L} and h are continuously differentiable, then there exists a unique $\hat{\beta}^{(\lambda)}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\lambda} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} &= - [\nabla_{1}^{2} h(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda)]^{-1} \nabla_{1,2}^{2} h(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) \\ &= - [H_{\beta,h}]^{-1} \nabla_{1,2}^{2} h(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Question: where does this equation come from?

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \underbrace{\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \| \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^{2}}_{\text{outer optimization problem}} \text{ s.t. } \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{inner optimization problem}}$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda})},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \partial_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \top} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L} + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L} \\ &= - [\nabla_{1,2}^{2} h]^{\top} [H_{\beta,h}]^{-1} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L} + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$

Y. Bengio. Gradient-based optimization of hyperparameters. Neural computation, 12(8):1889–1900, 2000.

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \underbrace{\min_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \| \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^{2}}_{\text{outer optimization problem}} \text{ s.t. } \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{inner optimization problem}}$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda})},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \partial_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \top} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L} + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L} \\ &= - [\nabla_{1,2}^{2} h]^{\top} [H_{\beta,h}]^{-1} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L} + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$

But: any problem remains?

Y. Bengio. Gradient-based optimization of hyperparameters. Neural computation, 12(8):1889–1900, 2000.

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda) = \underset{\substack{\lambda \\ \text{outer optimization problem}}{\underbrace{\min \| \mathbf{y}^{\text{val}} - \mathbf{X}^{\text{val}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \|^2}_{\text{outer optimization problem}} \text{ s.t. } \underbrace{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)} \in \underset{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p}{\operatorname{argmin}} h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda)}_{\text{inner optimization problem}}$$

So:

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \partial_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \top} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L} + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L} \\ = -[\nabla_{1,2}^{2} h]^{\top} [H_{\beta,h}]^{-1} \nabla_{1} \mathcal{L} + \nabla_{2} \mathcal{L}$$

- But: any problem remains?
- Inverting Hessian is generally very costly, and not possible when n < p...</p>

Y. Bengio. Gradient-based optimization of hyperparameters. Neural computation, 12(8):1889–1900, 2000.

$$\nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\boldsymbol{\lambda})},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = -[\nabla_{1,2}^{2}h]^{\top}[H_{\boldsymbol{\beta},h}]^{-1}\nabla_{1}\mathcal{L} + \nabla_{2}\mathcal{L}$$

Pedregosa (2016): at iteration k we have a tolerance ϵ_k small enough 1. With λ_k , solve the inner optimization problem, obtain $\hat{\beta}^{\lambda_k}$

2. Approximate $[H_{\beta,h}]^{-1} \nabla_1 \mathcal{L}$ by solving for q_k s.t

$$\|H_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\lambda_k},h}q_k-
abla_1\mathcal{L}\|\leq\epsilon_k$$

3. Approximate $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{(\lambda)}, \lambda)$ with

$$p_k = - [
abla_{1,2}^2 h]^ op q_k +
abla_2 \mathcal{L}(\hat{eta}^{\lambda_k},\lambda_k)$$

4. Update $\lambda_{k+1} = \operatorname{ProjGD}(\lambda_k, p_k, \eta)$

 \longrightarrow no inversion of the Hessian

Pedregosa, F. (2016). Hyperparameter optimization with approximate gradient. In International conference on machine learning (pp. 737-746). PMLR.







- \blacktriangleright Still: we requires h to be smooth
- But what about the case for Lasso?

$$h(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\beta}\|_1$$



 \longrightarrow Check the work of Bertrand et al. (2020)

- Also leverage the sparsity induced by the Lasso for the computation
- Faster than implicit forward differentiation methods

Bertrand, Q., Klopfenstein, Q., et al. (2020). Implicit differentiation of Lasso-type models for hyperparameter optimization. Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning